


Hazard and Choice Perception in Flood Plain Management

BY ROBERT WILLIAM KATES



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

*With affection -
Bob*

HAZARD AND CHOICE PERCEPTION IN
FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT

DEPARTMENT OF GEOGRAPHY

RESEARCH PAPER NO. 78

By

ROBERT WILLIAM KATES

CHICAGO · ILLINOIS

1962

PUBLISHED 1962 BY THE DEPARTMENT
OF GEOGRAPHY, THE UNIVERSITY OF
CHICAGO, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS,
U.S.A.

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 62-21379

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The field investigations at LaFollette, Tennessee and five other towns, are the joint products of the writer and his co-workers; David Czamanske, Karen Pataki, Kerry Pataki, and James Simmons. With them the writer has had the pleasurable experience of friendship and intellectual stimulation as a by-product of geographic research.

The success of the field investigations also lie with the people of LaFollette, in particular Curt Alley, Clarence Farris, Wheeler Hollingsworth, and deserving of special mention, Guy Easterly, Hugh Heatherly, and Alice Phillips.

The field investigations were enhanced by the assistance of Clement E. Babb of the Tennessee State Planning Commission and the Local Flood Relations Branch of the Tennessee Valley Authority, who in the persons of James E. Goddard and John W. Weathers were constant sources of information and guidance.

In its early stages of planning at the University of Chicago, the study benefited from the advice of Brian J. L. Berry and Elihu Katz. It is also fitting to acknowledge a general debt to the University and the Department of Geography. Without the prevailing atmosphere that encourages interdisciplinary research and the encouragement and friendship of faculty and students, it is not likely that this study could have been carried out.

The study was made under a fellowship granted by Resources for the Future Inc., but the conclusions, opinions, and other statements contained herein are those of the writer and are not necessarily those of Resources for the Future, Inc.

A draft of the manuscript has been reviewed, wholly or in part, prior to publication by: Robert Adams, Brian J. L. Berry, Ian Burton, Emery N. Castle, S. V. Giriacy-Wantrup, Marion Clawson, John Cohen, Donald Crane, Leslie Curry, Tate Dalrymple, John W. Duckman, John E. Edinger, Myron B. Fiering, James E. Goddard, Maynard M. Hufschmidt, William Koelsch, Elihu Katz, John V. Krutilla, Walter B. Langbein, David Lowenthal, Robert Lucas, Arthur Maass, Ralph E. Miller, Howard Olson, Joseph Perry, James Simmons, Herbert Simon, Gilbert F. White, M. Gordon Wolman, Luitgard Wundheiler, and Donald Volk.

The volume has been improved considerably by their critical review and the writer warmly acknowledges their suggestions and comments.

The maps and figures are the work of Phyllis Johnson. For typing assistance the writer has relied on Erika Barnum, Diane Bedford, Ardith Hinshaw, and Marvel Jensen.

Finally, and most important, there is Gilbert F. White, teacher, adviser, and friend.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	111
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	ix
Chapter	
I. GEOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION	1
Twenty-Five Years of Flood Control Activity	2
A Future Flood Damage Reduction Program	5
Flood Hazard Information: The Foundation of a Flood Damage Reduction Program	8
Twenty Years of Geographical Research into Human Occupance of Flood Plains	9
II. DECISION-MAKING AND RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	12
Some Underlying Assumptions of Decision-Making Schema	12
Man's Rationality	13
Types of Choice Processes	16
Conditions of Knowledge	19
Evaluation Criteria	21
Decision Studies in Resources Management: A Comparative Table of Underlying Assumptions	25
III. THE STUDY: STRATEGY, SITES AND METHODS	29
The Strategy of the Study	29
Study Sites: The LaFollette Area	31
Study Methods in LaFollette	38
The Reconnaissance Studies: Strategy, Sites and Methods	39
IV. PROBABILITY AND HAZARD EVALUATION	45
Information and Flood Hazard Evaluations in LaFollette	45
A Technical Perception of the State of Nature	49
Technical Flood Hazard Evaluations	57
The Interpretation of Flood Hazard Information at LaFollette	66
Interpretation and Hazard Evaluation at the Reconnaissance Sites	78
A Certainty-Uncertainty Scale	83
Perception, Hazard Evaluation, and Choice: A Commentary on Flood Hazard Information	88
A Probability Construct for the Individual Decision-Maker	96
V. THE PERCEPTION AND ADOPTION OF ALTERNATIVE FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION MEASURES	104
The Practical Range of Choice at LaFollette	104
The Perception and Adoption of Alternatives at LaFollette	123
The Perception and Adoption of Alternatives at the Reconnaissance Sites	129
Decision-Making and the Perception and Adoption of Alternative Flood Damage Reduction Actions	132

TABLE OF CONTENTS--Continued

Chapter	Page
VI. INFORMATION AND DECISION-MAKING IN FLOOD DAMAGE	
REDUCTION	135
Why Do They Live There?	135
Decision-Making by Flood Plain Managers	136
Information and Human Adjustment to Floods	139
APPENDIX	145
SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY	152

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Corps of Engineers Estimates of Damage Potential . .	3
2. Elements in a Future Flood Damage Program	6
3. Comparisons of Decision-Making Studies in Resources Management	26
4. Selected Population Characteristics of LaFollette and United States	33
5. LaFollette Establishments by Type and Flood Hazard .	36
6. Types of Data Collected in Interviews	40
7. Information and Future Flood Expectancy in Percentage of Commercial and Residential Respondents	47
8. Estimates of Magnitude and Frequency of Floods Used in Evaluations of Flood Hazard of Big Creek	60
9. Interpretation of the Flood of May, 1950 by Commercial and Residential Respondents	68
10. Interpretation of the Flood of May, 1950 by Expectation of Future Floods	73
11. Interpretation of Flood of May, 1950 by Frequency Estimates of Commercial and Residential Respondents .	75
12. Expectation of a Future Flood by Frequency Estimates of Commercial and Residential Respondents	77
13. Association of Selected Variables with Expectation of a Future Flood	78
14. Selected Characteristics of Interviewed Managers, LaFollette and Reconnaissance Sites	80
15. Interpretation of Flood Events by the Expectation of a Future Flood and Knowledge of Protective Works, LaFollette and Reconnaissance Sites	81
16. Rank Order of Study Sites by Percentage of Respondents Displaying Flood Knowledge, Experience, Knowledge of Protective Works, and Expectation of a Future Flood	82
17. Number of Floods Recorded per Ten Years for 496 Urban Places	86
18. Respondents' Knowledge of the TVA Report	91
19. Evaluation of Flood Hazard and Serious Flood Hazard for LaFollette Commercial Establishment During a Twenty-Five Year Period	102
20. Expectation of Bearing a Future Loss	107
21. Perception of Emergency Actions	110
22. Perception and Adoption of Structural Change and Land Elevation Actions	112
23. Floodway Attitude and Structure Data	118

LIST OF TABLES--Continued

Table		Page
24.	Perception and Adoption of Flood Control and Abatement Actions	122
25.	Flood Characteristics Associated with the Adoption of Some Flood Reduction Alternative	128
26.	Distribution of Respondents on Scale of Perception-Adoption of Flood Reduction Measures at LaFollette and Reconnaissance Sites	129

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Page
1. Soil Erosion Control in Western Iowa	22
2. LaFollette, Tennessee	34
3. Study Sites	42
4. LaFollette Discharge-Frequency Curves	53
5. Maximum Known Flood Discharges in Region of LaFollette	55
6. LaFollette Extrapolated Discharge-Frequency Curves .	62
7. LaFollette Flood Hazard Evaluations	64
8. Variation in Respondents' Flood Characteristics in Three Towns Located on Certainty-Uncertainty Scale .	84
9. Log-Normal Distribution of 496 Urban Places by Flood Frequency	87
10. A Graphic Hypothesis of Probability Distribution and Perceptions of the State of Nature	89
11. A Commercial Establishment, Stage-Damage Curve . . .	99
12. A Commercial Establishment, Stage-Frequency Curves .	101
13. Variants of the Perception and Adoption of a Single Alternative	105
14. Complaints of Encroachment	117
15. Perception and Adoption of Alternatives in LaFollette	126

