

DROUGHT AND WATER SUPPLY

DROUGHT AND WATER SUPPLY

Implications of the Massachusetts Experience for Municipal Planning

Clifford S. Russell

David G. Arey

Robert W. Kates

With the assistance of

Duane Bauman

Donald J. Volk

Published for Resources for the Future, Inc.

by The Johns Hopkins Press
Baltimore and London

RESOURCES FOR THE FUTURE, INC.
1755 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036

Board of Directors:

Erwin D. Canham, *Chairman*, Robert O. Anderson, Harrison Brown, Edward J. Cleary, Joseph L. Fisher, Luther H. Foster, F. Kenneth Hare, Charles J. Hitch, Charles F. Luce, Frank Pace, Jr., William S. Paley, Emanuel R. Piore, Stanley H. Ruttenberg, Lauren K. Soth, P. F. Watzek, Gilbert F. White.

Honorary Directors: Horace M. Albright, Reuben G. Gustavson, Hugh L. Keenleyside, Edward S. Mason, Leslie A. Miller, Laurance S. Rockefeller, John W. Vanderwilt.

President: Joseph L. Fisher
Vice President: Michael F. Brewer
Secretary-Treasurer: John E. Herbert

Resources for the Future is a nonprofit corporation for research and education in the development, conservation, and use of natural resources and the improvement of the quality of the environment. It was established in 1952 with the cooperation of the Ford Foundation. Part of the work of Resources for the Future is carried out by its resident staff; part is supported by grants to universities and other nonprofit organizations. Unless otherwise stated, interpretations and conclusions in RFF publications are those of the authors; the organization takes responsibility for the selection of significant subjects for study, the competence of the researchers, and their freedom of inquiry.

This book is one of RFF's studies on water resources, which are directed by Charles W. Howe. Research was conducted under an RFF grant to Clark University. Clifford S. Russell is research associate with Resources for the Future; David G. Arey is assistant professor of geography at the University of Pittsburgh; Robert W. Kates is professor of geography at Clark University. The manuscript was edited by Roma K. McNickle. Charts were drawn by Clare and Frank Ford. The index was prepared by Adele Garrett.

RFF staff editors: Henry Jarrett, Vera W. Dodds, Nora E. Roots, Tadd Fisher.

Copyright © 1970 by The Johns Hopkins Press
All rights reserved
Manufactured in the United States of America
The Johns Hopkins Press, Baltimore, Maryland 21218
The Johns Hopkins Press Ltd., London
Library of Congress Catalog Card Number 72-123861
ISBN 0-8018-1183-X

FOREWORD

The unexpected enlivens human affairs. It often elicits behavior different from that which responds to likely and predictable events. The latter tend to be routinized by established institutions or management systems and their measurement results in clusters of only marginally differentiated observations. A dominant tradition in social science has been to seek an understanding of society by analyzing stimulus-response patterns within a system. Far less attention has been devoted to assessing the consequences of outside shocks which shake up that system. Yet such disturbances leave a legacy of effects on individuals and institutions which influences the future behavior of a community.

This book is about an unusual natural event and how communities and individuals respond to it. Following a period of well-watered years, New England experienced in the mid-1960's five years of very low rainfall. Clifford Russell, David Arey, Robert Kates, and their associates have used data covering the dry 1962-66 period to explore what happened when unexpected drought was encountered and what types of defensive response were taken by three New England communities. The authors use this unusual and rather extreme climatic event to explore the adequacy of community water supply systems in terms of both physical structure and operation, and to analyze the capacity of communities to adapt to drought conditions. The data developed were in turn used in a model depicting the efficient expansion of an existing water supply system.

This study is part of a small but growing literature which explores society's ability to cope with natural hazards. Much of the early work in this field, centering on flood problems, was undertaken at the University of Chicago under the direction of Professor Gilbert White. His analysis of land use activities on the flood plain suggested that insurance programs and land use zoning might provide more efficient alternatives for communities seeking protection from flood damage than the physical structures upon which they traditionally have relied. The present study substantially broadens our understanding of community water supply management, and suggests that expanding the physical system is not necessarily the most efficient response to anticipated shortage.

To exploit the research opportunities offered by a reasonably well documented extreme event, the authors found it necessary to elaborate a com-

Foreword vi

prehensive framework of concepts and definitions as well as a methodology which could be made operational in their case studies. Their definition of adequacy of a water supply system is a welcome contribution to an area of substantial public expenditure for which no very firm investment criteria exist. The rules of thumb which the authors have developed for efficient water supply system planning help fill this void.

In making explicit the service capacity of a system under drought conditions, by considering the curtailment of water use as an investment, and by expressing “losses” in dollar terms, important options for future water system planning are developed. One may expect that similar types of studies will be undertaken in different areas of the country, and that the insights and specific information developed from them will lead to the improved management of community water supply systems.

Michael F. Brewer
Vice President, Resources for the Future

February 1970

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge their debts to many people whose contributions to this study cover a wide range.

For basic financial support we thank Resources for the Future, Inc., which also made it possible for the senior author to put the final touches on the manuscript as part of his duties as a research associate at RFF. At one stage, the Harvard Water Program provided secretarial, computational, and other support for the entire study.

A special debt is owed Duane Bauman who provided challenging ideas and hard work at every stage of the study and who prepared Chapter 8 on municipal response to drought. Donald Volk undertook a study of the Metropolitan District Commission from which we have taken much useful material. Charles W. Howe, director of the Water Resources Program at RFF, gave help ranging from advice and guidance at the beginning of the study through critical review of successive drafts. Blair T. Bower, also at RFF, generously took the time to review the study and to share with us his broad background in water resource problems. Early in the study, Myron Fiering of Harvard University provided us with simulation studies indicating the impact of the drought on the estimation of streamflow variability in Massachusetts.

In the area of data gathering, our largest debt is to the forty-eight water system managers and twenty-three private firm executives we interviewed at great length. These men cheerfully put up with our questioning and gave us the raw material for the study. This phase of the study would certainly have been far harder (and probably far less successful) had we not had the cooperation in Braintree, Fitchburg, and Pittsfield of the executives of the local Chambers of Commerce. In addition, we are grateful to Wayne Palmer of the U.S. Weather Bureau for providing us with data on climatic variation over long periods in Massachusetts. Hyman Steinhurst of the Massachusetts Department of Public Health assisted us greatly in obtaining, copying, and interpreting documents in that organization's custody.

In the initial stages of the formulation of the research report Roger Kasperson, then at Clark University with Arey and Kates, contributed many valuable insights into the political processes surrounding water supply decisions.

viii *Acknowledgments*

At Harvard, where Russell worked on the study as the basis of his thesis, Professors Robert Dorfman, Harold Thomas, and Henry Jacoby gave freely of their time in helping us over some of the rough spots. In particular, Professor Dorfman suggested the present form of the capacity expansion planning model and provided an exacting critique of the economic and econometric work. Professor Thomas assisted us whenever engineering problems arose. Professor Jacoby also served as a valuable critic but even more important, perhaps, as the keeper of the Water Program funds he smoothed our path by providing support during a critical period.

When it came time to try to solve the nonlinear programming problem defined by our planning model, we were fortunate to be able to turn to Douglas Shier. As part of the research for his B.A. Honors thesis in Applied Mathematics at Harvard, he undertook all the programming required in the solution of the model. His version of the method of feasible directions proved to be very efficient and quite robust in the face of the nonconvexity of our problem.

The testing and manipulation of our data on rainfall have been greatly improved thanks to the detailed comments on an earlier draft provided by Nicholas Matalas of the U.S. Geological Survey.

In final preparation of the manuscript we are grateful to Roma McNickle, our editor, and to Henry Jarrett of RFF, both of whom suggested important improvements in organization and presentation.

For secretarial and computational assistance we thank Ellen Berger, formerly of the Harvard Water Program, who typed most of the study in its earliest versions; Doris Stell of RFF, who efficiently made the seemingly endless string of modifications and corrections that resulted in the final version; and Betty Duenckel and Iris Long of RFF, who assisted with some of the statistical exercises undertaken late in the study.

CONTENTS

Foreword.....	v
Acknowledgments.....	vii
Chapter 1. <i>Research as an Aid to Water Supply Planning</i>	1
Methodology of the Study.....	5
The Plan of the Book.....	7
PART I: WATER SUPPLY AND DEMAND: THE LEVEL OF SYSTEM INADEQUACY	
Chapter 2. <i>An Index of Water System Inadequacy: The Relation Between Potential Demand and Supply</i>	15
Observed Levels of Adjustment of Water Systems.....	20
Cross-Sectional Distributions of Water-Use / Safe-Yield Ratios (<i>WU/SY</i>).....	21
Time Series Distributions of <i>WU/SY</i> Ratios.....	23
Chapter 3. <i>Water Supply Systems and the Level of Supply</i>	25
Capacity: The Safe Yield of Surface-Water Sources.....	27
Chapter 4. <i>Measurement of the Demand for Water</i>	30
Measurement: Recorded Consumption.....	31
Relationship between Recorded Consumption and Demand.....	32
PART II: CLIMATIC VARIATION, THE LEVEL OF SHORTAGE, AND THE NATURE OF SHORT-RUN ADJUSTMENTS	
Chapter 5. <i>Projection of Demand and Measurement of Shortage</i>	37
The Definition of Shortage.....	37
The Measurement of Shortage.....	39
Chapter 6. <i>Climatic Variation: Measurement and Perception</i>	41
Long-Term Annual Precipitation Series: Description.....	42
Transformation of the Precipitation Series.....	43
Managers' Perception of Climatic Variation.....	48
Chapter 7. <i>Shortage in Relation to System Inadequacy: An A Priori Model and the Empirical Results</i>	55
Empirical Relations among Shortages, Inadequacies, and Climatic Variations.....	59

x *Contents*

Chapter 8.	<i>Drought Adjustment: The Response to Shortage</i>	63
	Adjustments That Reduce Consumption.....	63
	Adjustments That Increase Supply.....	69
	Drought Adjustment in Massachusetts.....	70
	Timing of Drought Adjustments.....	81
	Sequence of Drought Adjustments.....	82

PART III: THE ECONOMIC IMPACT OF WATER SHORTAGE

Chapter 9.	<i>The Cost of the Drought: Definition and Initial Estimates</i>	87
	Drought Costs and Losses: Principles and Problems.....	89
	Description and Measurement of Losses from Water Shortage.....	91
	Estimates of Costs and Losses: Uncorrected Except for Double Counting.....	94
	General Methodology.....	95
	Results.....	98
	Types of Adjustment to Shortage: Magnitude of Resulting Losses.....	99
Chapter 10.	<i>The Cost of the Drought: Correction of Initial Estimates for Various Economic Considerations</i>	109
	Drought Adjustments as Investments.....	109
	Accounting Assumptions and the Changing Picture of Drought Losses.....	116
	Losses Incurred by System Customers.....	118
	Comments and Conclusions: Losses to All Water Users...	118
	Comments and Conclusions: Losses to System Customers..	119
Chapter 11.	<i>Per Capita Losses and Their Relation to Shortage</i>	130
	Sectoral Patterns.....	130
	Community Per Capita Losses.....	132

PART IV: A PLANNING MODEL FOR MUNICIPAL WATER SUPPLY SYSTEMS

Chapter 12.	<i>Expected Annual Losses from Drought</i>	137
	Estimating Expected-Loss Function.....	138
	Probability of Shortages.....	139
	Approximation of Expected Losses.....	140
	Estimation of the Parameters of Expected-Loss Functions..	142
Chapter 13.	<i>The Cost of Additions to Safe Yield</i>	143
	A Theoretical Approach.....	143
	An Empirical Approach.....	146

Contents xi

Chapter 14. <i>A Capacity Expansion Planning Model for Municipal Water Supply Systems</i>	148
Basic Structure of the Model.....	149
Functional Forms: A Review and Consolidation.....	150
General Comments.....	152
Methods of Solution.....	152
Results of Solution of the Model for Various Parameter Values.....	153
Effect of Uncertainty in Projections of the Growth of Demand.....	157
Optimal Paths and Resulting Costs under Various Sets of Growth Rates.....	157
PART V: PRACTICAL SYSTEM PLANNING	
Chapter 15. <i>Rules of Thumb for Optimal Planning</i>	165
Optimal Timing of Capacity Increments.....	165
Optimal Size of Capacity Increments.....	167
Chapter 16. <i>The Framework for Water Supply Decisions</i>	169
Major Participants in the Water-Management System.....	169
Interaction between the System Parts: The Framework for Decisions.....	173
Summary: The Existing Situation and Economic Optimality	176
Chapter 17. <i>Historical Profiles of Five Massachusetts Water Supply Systems: Comparison of Actual and Attainable Results</i>	179
Systems Histories.....	180
Comparison of Actual and Optimal Planning Results.....	185
Translating Historical Size and Timing Data.....	186
Results of Translating Historical Data.....	187
Costs of Various Policies.....	190
Chapter 18. <i>Drought and Water Supply: Concluding Comments</i>	193
Summary of Findings.....	193
Investment Planning Lessons of the Observed Experience..	195
Appendix A. A Preliminary Test of the Demand Projections.....	197
Appendix B. Notes on Data Used in the Various Tests.....	201
Appendix C. Drought Adjustments by the Metropolitan District Commission.....	203
Appendix D. Measurement of Business Losses from Shutdown.....	206
Appendix E. Losses from Restrictions on Lawn-Sprinkling.....	209
Appendix F. Corrections for Investment Returns.....	214

xii *Contents*

Appendix G. Production Losses and Net Earnings Remittals under Various Accounting Stances 218

Appendix H. Methods of Solution 221

Index 226

LIST OF TABLES

Table

1. Water supply systems in detailed survey: by population served and type of adjustment 7

2. Selected characteristics of water supply systems in detailed survey 8

3. Summary of data surveys 9

4. Summary of specialized data surveys 10

5. Summary of results of regression analysis 34

6. Statistics of records for stations used in annual precipitation series 43

7. Statistics for cumulated precipitation series 44

8. Types of data used by system managers to indicate that the drought was affecting their water supply 49

9. Managers' perception of beginning of drought 50

10. Managers' perception of end of drought 51

11. Drought duration perceived by managers believing drought had ended by summer of 1966 52

12. Managers' perception of relative severity of past droughts 53

13. Managers' estimates of recurrence frequency of drought of early 1960's 53

14. Managers' perception of recurrence of drought 54

15. Results of test of empirical model hypotheses 61

16. Water use in metered and flat-rate areas 64

17. Mail-survey communities: adjustments to drought 72

18. Adjustment to drought made by 39 communities 74

19. Nature of restrictions adopted by 34 communities 75

20. Average shortage facing communities adopting various restrictions 77

21. Weighted average water rates for lost revenue calculation 96

22. All-in gross losses, corrected for double counting 100

23. Losses related to measures to increase supply 102

24. Losses related to measures to restrict use 104

25. Losses unclassified with respect to cause 106

26. Summary of investment projects 110

27. Summary of corrections for future returns to investments 113

28. Comparison of calculated and perceived project profitability, 16 projects 114

29. The effect of changing assumptions on estimated drought losses: local stance/20 percent discount rate/zero deferral or transfer 120

30. The effect of changing assumptions on estimated drought losses: local stance/8 percent discount rate/zero deferral or transfer 122

Table

31. The effect of changing assumptions on estimated drought losses: national stance/20 percent discount rate/100 percent deferral or transfer with unemployment.....	124
32. The effect of changing assumptions on estimated drought losses: national stance/8 percent discount rate/100 percent deferral or transfer with unemployment.....	126
33. Separation of losses by users' water supply source.....	128
34. Per capita losses.....	131
35. Parameters of the expected-loss functions.....	142
36. Illustrative costs for reservoirs of different sizes, New England, 1962..	144
37. Illustrative safe-yield costs, New England, 1962.....	146
38. Regression results.....	147
39. Combinations of initial vectors and parameter values used in computer runs.....	153
40. Sensitivity of results to parameter changes.....	154
41. Sensitivity of results to growth rate changes.....	158
42. Results of non-optimal policies, without review.....	160
43. Results of non-optimal policies, with review.....	161
44. Optimal increment timing.....	166
45. Optimal increment size.....	168
46. Background of interviewed water superintendents.....	172
47. Additions to the capacity of the 5 sample water supply systems in Massachusetts, 1900–1966.....	184
48. Use of emergency water supplies in 4 Massachusetts cities, 1900–1966..	184
49. Actual and optimal timing and size decisions.....	188
50. Total present value of costs implied by various capacity-expansion policies.....	191
51. Test of demand projections.....	198
52. Cumulative rainfall deviations and $\alpha' t$	202
53. Domestic losses from sprinkling restrictions.....	213
54. Costs of “lost” production under transferral or deferral.....	219
55. Starting vectors.....	223
56. Comparison of search results with programming solutions: total costs	224

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

1. Adjustment to natural hazard: the problem.....	2
2. Location of 48 study sites.....	6
3. Illustrative supply source choices.....	19
4. Supply reliability resulting from different choices of source.....	20
5. Illustrative expected shortage—system-inadequacy relation.....	20
6. Illustrative loss-shortage relation.....	21

xiv *Contents*

Figure

7. Interregional comparison of the distribution of population over the water-use/safe-yield scale.....	22
8. Historical distribution of 1900–1960 populations of 4 Massachusetts cities over water-use/safe-yield scale.....	24
9. Illustrative trace of water use and system capacity over time.....	26
10. Stations used in rainfall analysis.....	42
11. Four-year cumulative deviations from mean of annual precipitation in 4 Massachusetts Communities, 1867–1966.....	45
12. Pooled record distribution function for cumulative precipitation deviations.....	46
13. Scatter for 1964: 15 observations of shortage and system inadequacy..	59
14. Effect of introduction of metering on water consumption by Metropolitan District Commission customers.....	65
15. Nature of adjustments made by 39 Massachusetts communities during 1963–66 drought.....	71
16. Community adjustment to drought: time of first adjustment.....	81
17. Sequence of community adoption of adjustments.....	82
18. Losses from a total ban on lawn-sprinkling.....	92
19. Per capita annual losses as a function of shortage.....	133
20. Expected loss functions.....	141
21. Schematic of choice variables and resulting time paths of key variables for a particular plan choice.....	149
22. The municipal water-management system.....	174
23. Fall River: average daily demand compared to safe yield.....	180
24. Fitchburg: annual daily demand compared to safe yield.....	181
25. Pittsfield: average daily demand compared to safe yield.....	182
26. Worcester: average daily demand compared to safe yield.....	182
27. Metropolitan District Commission: average daily demand compared to safe yield.....	183
28. Impact of restrictions on water use by Metropolitan District Commission customers, August 4–September 22, 1965.....	204
29. Losses from a partial ban on lawn-sprinkling.....	211

DROUGHT AND WATER SUPPLY

